

Haikun Xu and Peter Kuriyama Inter-American Tropical Tuna Commission Scripps Institution of Oceanography

CAPAM Spatiotemporal Workshop Feb. 28, 2018

Acknowledgements

- Cleridy Lennert-Cody, Mark Maunder, and Carolina Minte-Vera
- Jim Thorson
- Jin Gao



- Apply VAST to the aggregate catch rate of yellowfin tuna in the EPO between 1975-2016
- Apply VAST to the length composition of yellowfin tuna in the EPO between 2000-2016

1. CPUE: Data

- 1°*1° catch (in biomass) and effort (in days) data from the vessels with >75% dolphin-associated sets
- Nominal CPUE = catch / effort

Distribution of YFT fisheries between 1975-2016



VAST separately models encounter probability (p) and positive catch rate (λ) for sample *i*:

1.
$$p_i = \text{logit}^{-1} (\beta_1(t_i) + \omega_1(s_i) + \varepsilon_1(s_i, t_i))$$

2. $\lambda_i = \exp(\beta_2(t_i) + \omega_2(s_i) + \varepsilon_2(s_i, t_i))$

 $\beta_1(t_i)$ and $\beta_2(t_i)$: intercept in year t_i $\omega_1(s_i)$ and $\omega_2(s_i)$: spatial variation at location s_i $\varepsilon_1(s_i, t_i)$ and $\varepsilon_2(s_i, t_i)$: spatiotemporal variation at location s_i in year t_i

Autocorrelated spatial and spatiotemporal residuals:

 $\omega_{1} \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\omega_{1}}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{1})$ $\omega_{2} \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\omega_{2}}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{2})$ $\varepsilon_{1}(, t) \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{1})$ $\varepsilon_{2}(, t) \sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_{\varepsilon_{2}}^{2} \mathbf{R}_{2})$

where

$$R_{1}(s,s') = \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(n)} \times (\kappa_{1}|\mathbf{H}(s-s')|)^{\nu} \times K_{\nu}(\kappa_{1}|\mathbf{H}(s-s')|)$$

$$R_{2}(s,s') = \frac{1}{2^{\nu-1}\Gamma(n)} \times (\kappa_{2}|\mathbf{H}(s-s')|)^{\nu} \times K_{\nu}(\kappa_{2}|\mathbf{H}(s-s')|)$$
decorrelation geometric anisotropy Matern smoothness (=1)

The probability of catch data *c* for sample *i*:

$$\Pr(c_i = C) = \begin{cases} 1 - p_i & \text{if } C = 0\\ p_i \times lognormal(c_i | \lambda_i, \sigma_m^2) & \text{if } C > 0 \end{cases}$$

Joint Log-Likelihood = $\sum_i \log(\Pr(c_i = C))$

TMB + R

The spatiotemporal dynamics of yellowfin tuna in quarter 1

Large inter-annual variation in fishery locations

use knots to approximate spatial and spatiotemporal residuals







Total abundance for the entire domain

 $I(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_k} (a(k) \times \hat{d}(k, t)) - k$: spatial knot









Comparison the 4 quarterly indices of abundance







The locations of fisheries activities change from quarter to quarter, but the quarterly indices of abundance should be calculated based on the same domain in the EPO.

Discussion: How to predict the catch rate in the regions without data?





Discussion: How to pred... ... Lations without data? Solution 1: catch rate = 0

Problem: Predicted catch rate is high in adjacent regions with data

Solution 2: interpolate catch rate based on spatial autocorrelation in residuals Problem: spatial correlation in residuals may vary over space

The fishery follows the movement of warm waters





Discussion: How to predict the catch rate in the regions without data?

Solution 1: catch rate = 0

Any suggestions?

Problem: Predicted catch rate is high in adjacent regions with data

Solution 2: interpolate catch rate based on spatial autocorrelation in residuals

Problem: spatial correlation in residuals may vary over space

2. Length Comps: Data

- 5°*5° number at length (1cm bin) and effort (in days) data from the vessels with >75% dolphin-associated sets
- Case study: Northern hemisphere; Quarter 2



2. Length Comp: Spatiotemporal Model

$$p_{i} = \text{logit}^{-1} \left(\beta_{1}(l_{i}, t_{i}) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\omega_{1}}(l_{i}, f) \omega_{1}(s_{i}, f) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\varepsilon_{1}}(l_{i}, f) \varepsilon_{1}(s_{i}, f, t_{i}) \right)$$

$$\lambda_{i} = \exp \left(\beta_{2}(l_{i}, t_{i}) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\omega_{2}}(l_{i}, f) \omega_{2}(s_{i}, f) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\varepsilon_{2}}(l_{i}, f) \varepsilon_{2}(s_{i}, f, t_{i}) \right)$$

l_i: length bin (1-9);

 $L_{\omega_1}, L_{\varepsilon_1}, L_{\omega_2}, L_{\varepsilon_2}$: generate the loading matrixes $(L^T L)$ for the spatial and spatiotemporal covariance among l_i

n_f: 2

Spatial residuals



Correlation matrix for spatiotemporal residuals



Size segregation in spatiotemporal distribution too: positive correlations between close length bins negative correlations between distant length bins

AR1 in length does not work *for YFT*

Hypothesis

Differing habitat preferences of the smallest and largest YFT are driven by env conditions

Problems in this length comp modelling

 Spatial resolution is low (5°*5°) -> 100% observed encounter rate occurs in some grid cells

My solution: RhoConfig = c("Beta1"=2, "Beta2"=0) -> random walk





Increase the number of length bins from 9 to 20 leads to improved model fit

Discussion:

- Bad fit or bad diagnose?
- How many number of bins?
- How many number of factors?

Bigeye tuna (Thunnus obesus)



- Decline affected by La Niña events
- Strong recruitment in 2012

Catches



Recruitment



Data (fishery-dependent)

- CPUE
 - #fish/#hooks
 - 5° latitude × 5° longitude
- Length compositions
 - Counts (100% encounters)
 - 5° latitude × 10° longitude
- Japanese catches only
 - Longline
 - Annual



CPUE



CPUE by decade



VAST model - CPUE



Index of abundance





Compared to spawning biomass ratio



Year

Diagnostics



Anisotropy



VAST model – length comps

- Counts (100% encounters)
- 5° latitude × 10° longitude
- Length quartiles



Data-length compositions



30 knots



Index







Encounter

Catch rate

Problems



Open questions

- Handling 0% and 100% encounter rate
- Spatial modeling over ~500km by ~500km grids?
 - Bigger in some cases
- Discussion:
- Bad fit or bad diagnostics?
- How many number of bins?
- How many number of factors?

• Backup slides

Problems in this length comp modelling

• How to eliminate the possibility that the size segregation is not caused by including only 2 loading factors for 9 length bins?

$$p_{i} = \log it^{-1} \left(\beta_{1}(l_{i}, t_{i}) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\omega_{1}}(l_{i}, f) \omega_{1}(s_{i}, f) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\varepsilon_{1}}(l_{i}, f) \varepsilon_{1}(s_{i}, f, t_{i}) \right)$$

$$\lambda_{i} = \exp \left(\beta_{2}(l_{i}, t_{i}) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\omega_{2}}(l_{i}, f) \omega_{2}(s_{i}, f) + \sum_{f=1}^{n_{f}} L_{\varepsilon_{2}}(l_{i}, f) \varepsilon_{2}(s_{i}, f, t_{i}) \right)$$

$$L =$$

The fishery follows the movement of warm waters





Plan for the next step

- 1. Find the key env driver(s) that causes the sizesegregation in spatial and spatiotemporal distribution
- 2. Calculate the mean predicted catch rate at length as a function of the driver
- 3. Find the SST range in which

$$\log(d_{large}) - \log(d_{small}) = \log\left(\frac{d_{large}}{d_{small}}\right)$$
 is largest

4. Improve spatial management: predicting preferred fishing grounds using real-time env observations



-120

-100

-80

-140